Image Appearance Improvement by Adaptive Scale-Gain Center/Surround Retinex Model

Hiroaki Kotera and Masafumi Fujita Graduate School of Science and Technology Chiba University, Japan

Abstract

Human vision can see the very wide range of luminance scenes with keeping the color constancy. This paper is addressed to improve the image appearance based on Multi-Scale Retinex (*MSR*) model. Two linear *MSR* models are presented based on the *adaptive Scale-Gain* control for Center/Surround field. The proposed models worked well to compress the dynamic range and to dramatically improve the visibility in shadow areas.

Introduction

The electronic camera can't catch the details in the heavy change of highlight and shadow, while human vision can do. *Retinex* model proposed by Land and McCann¹ controls the scene dynamic range automatically not by "pixel-to-pixel" but by "*spatial-to-pixel*" process like as human vision. Jobson,² Rahman,³ Funt,⁴ and others have advanced the single-scale retinex (*SSR*) into multi-scale retinex (*MSR*) based on the center/ surround (*C/S*) model.

In the basic **MSR**, the retinex output $R_i(x, y)$ is given by

$$R_i(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} W_m \cdot \log \frac{I_i(x, y)}{\langle G_m(x, y) * I_i(x, y) \rangle}, \quad i = R, G, B$$
(1)

$$G_m = Kexp\left\{\sigma_m^2 / \left(x^2 + y^2\right)\right\} \iint G_m dxdy = 1$$
(2)

RGB output is calculated by the weighting sum of C/S ratio for center pixel $C=I_i(x, y)$ vs surround $S=\langle G_m * I_i \rangle$. G_m denotes Gaussian averaging filter with *scale m* of standard deviation σ_m for surround field and the symbol * denotes convolution. However, the conventional *MSR* have the following difficulties in practical use.

- Unstable *Log* function (for dark noise or offset level)
- Ambiguous weights and gain factors in multi-scales
- Chromatic unbalance in RGB channel process

Jobson (NASA) et al modified the *MSR* by the following additional formula

$$R_{i}'(x,y) = R_{i}(x,y) \cdot log \left(I + C \frac{I_{i}(x,y)}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{i}(x,y)} \right) \quad C = 125$$
(3)

The use of log(1+x) formula guarantees the positive output and the channel ratio to the sum of *R*, *G*, *B* works to preserve the chromaticity. It coped with clipping the long tails and heads in the histogram of $R_i'(x, y)$ for wide spread outputs. They reported the modified **MSR** worked nice and stable for many natural images. However it still remains the difficulties in setting the many parameters.

System Concept

Here the adaptive *scale-gain* control model for *C/S* field is presented by introducing,

[1] Linear *C/S* ratio without *Log*

[2] Use of Luminance Y to keep gray balance

[3] Adaptive *scale-gain* control for C/S field

Figure 1 shows the overview of proposed system.

First, the RGB linear input image was converted to luminance-chrominance YIQ image and Y was used for convolution.

The *linear SSR* is described by

$$R_i(x, y) = A \cdot \log \frac{I_i(x, y)}{\langle G_m(x, y) * I_i(x, y) \rangle}$$
(4)

Here a gain A should be appropriately determined in relation to the kernel size used for convolution. We approximated the Gaussian field by the $M \times M$ square kernel for the *scale* m of standard deviation σ_m by taking $\pm 2\sigma_m$.

As the denominator in Eq. (4) swings larger for the smaller σ_m , the dark areas will be lit up higher. The convolution of *Y* image with very large kernel gives the mean luminance level of the image and will converge to 0.5, if the *Y* is random variable. In such case, the maximum C/*S* ratio in Eq. (4) is expected to approach to 2. Thus, the gain factor *A* may be set to **0.5** for the largest kernel.



Figure 1. Overview of the proposed adaptive scale-gain MSR

Figure 2 shows an example of *linear SSR* image processed by different σ_m for A=0.5.

As the C/S ratio in the low luminance surround is amplified, the shadow areas surrounding the daily flower tend to be raised up and become visible. Because the C/S ratio for the smaller kernel size is estimated to concentrate around unity, the Retinex effect looks to appear near the edges of image.

On the contrary, the Retinex output is getting stable for the larger kernel size. Since the image size is small (128×128 pixels) in this sample, the maximum kernel size was limited to M=129.



Figure 2. C/S effect for different kernel size in linear SSR model

Adaptive Scale-Gain MSR Model

In the proposed linear *MSR* model, the key point lies in the weighting sum of multi-scale surrounds with variable gain function $A(\sigma_m)$ depending on the image contents.

The proposed model discusses how to compose the linear *SSR*s in different scales to *MSR* in a simple and logical way.

The linear adaptive scale-gain MSR is described by

$$R_i(x, y, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} 0.5 W_m A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m) \left\{ \frac{I_i(x, y)}{S_m(x, y, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m)} \right\}$$
(5)

$$S_m(x, y, \sigma_m) = \langle G_m(x, y) * Y(x, y) \rangle$$
(6)

 S_m denotes the surround for scale *m*. The convolution <*> is also taken between $G_m(x, y)$ and common luminance Y(x, y) to keep the color balance. $W_m = 1/M$ denotes a constant weight for *M* different scales and 0.5 means a normal gain.

Type A: Gain Function Based on Surround

Since the swing range of the surround S_m will be related to the maximum and minimum *C/S* ratio, we designed the gain function $A(\sigma_m)$ in relation to the min and max surround levels given by

$$S_{min}(m) = \min_{\substack{x=1, y=1 \\ x=1, y=1}}^{X, Y} \{ S_m(x, y, \sigma_m) \}$$
(7)

$$S_{max}(m) = \max_{\substack{x=1, y=l \\ x=1, y=l}}^{X, Y} \{ S_m(x, y, \sigma_m) \}$$
(8)

Considering these behaviors of the surround, the following types of gain functions were examined.

MinSPG : Min-Surround Proportional Gain

$$A(\sigma_m) = S_{min}(m) / S_{min}(M)$$
⁽⁹⁾

MinSPG works to control the gain factor in proportion to the minimum surround level in current scale m normalized by that in maximum scale M.

AveSRG: Average Surround Proportional Reverse Gain

$$A(\sigma_m) = 1 - 0.5 \{S_{min}(m) + S_{max}(m)\}$$
(10)

AveSRG works to control the gain in proportion to the reverse of the average of minimum and maximum surround levels in the current scale *m*.

MaxSRG: Max-Surround Proportional Reverse Gain

$$A(\sigma_m) = I - S_{max}(m) \tag{11}$$

MaxSRG works to control the gain in proportion to the reverse of the maximum surround level in current scale *m*.

The gain factor $A(\sigma_m)$ is limited in the range of 0 to 1 for the *Type A* models.

Type B: Gain Function Based on C/S Histogram

Actually, the Retinex outputs are not determined by the min/max surround levels but by C/S ratio in each pixel. Next we tried to design the gain function based on the C/S histogram. To reduce the computation costs, the C/S histogram was examined only for the luminance Y image. The C/S ratio for Y image is calculated by



Figure 3. Histogram of C/S ratio and surround images for scale m

Figure 3 shows the histogram of for Fig. 2. It is shown that the C/S ratio is concentrated around 1.0 for small kernel but tend to spread with the scale m. This means the larger

kernel will bring the meaningful Retinex outputs, because the output for C/S=1 doesn't carry any information. Thus, we introduced the standard deviation in the histogram of $Y_{C/S}(x, y, \sigma_m)$ to the weight of Retinex output for each scale *m* as follows.

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{C/S}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m) = \sqrt{\frac{I}{XY} \sum_{x=I}^{X} \sum_{y}^{Y} \left[Y_{C/S}(x, y, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m) - Ave\{Y_{C/S}(x, y, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m)\} \right]^2}$$
(13)

where, X and Y denote the image size and Ave{} means the mean value.

CSHPG: C/S Histogram Proportional Gain

Here we define the **Type B** gain function by the normalized weight coupled with $o.5W_m$ as follows.

$$0.5W_m A(\sigma_m) = \Sigma_{C/S}(\sigma_m) / \sum_{m=l}^M \Sigma_{C/S}(\sigma_m)$$
(14)

Experimental Results

The proposed *MSR* models were compared with the results by Jobson et al opened at the website of *NASA* and with the same image processed by *Frankle and McCann* model.

Figure 4 shows the changes in *Type A Gain Function* $A(\sigma_m)$ adaptive to the scale *m* for the test image22. These gain curves are *image-dependent* but showed monotonously increasing shapes for all the tested images.

Figure 5 shows the changes in *Type A Gain Function* for three different test images. All the curves were much the same.

Figures 6, 7, and 8 show the Retinex images processed by the proposed models in comparison with other results. The visibilities in shadow areas in original are dramatically improved for almost all the models. The scene lightness in the proposed models is well controlled corresponding to the each gain function in Fig. 4 or Fig 5 and makes the final output by summing up. Among the results for test image 9, *NASA* was best in the sharpness and the contrast. But for the image 15, *Frankle and McCann* model gave the best clear image with color constancy just as seen under the white light. While, *Frankle and McCann* didn't work well for the image 22 and *NASA* reproduced unclear blue sky. In this sample, the *proposed models* resulted in the best color reproduction.

In general, *NASA* model seems to give the sharp and clear images but tend to gray world for the large spatial surround areas such as sky. On the contrary, our models worked nice in color but the over flows may happen in some scales.

The *AveSRG* and *Type B* models behaved much the same resulting nice in clear blue sky for image 22, although the highlights may be little bit over amplified. *MinSPG*. was insufficient to enhance the shadow area's lightness. *MaxSRG* has an intermediate gain function between *AveSRG* and *MinSPG* and worked in stable.



Figure 4. Type A Scale-Gain Function



Figure 5. Type B Scale-Gain Function



Figure 7. Retinex results for image 15



Figure 6. Retinex results for image 9



Original

Frankle-McCann



NASA



AveSRG



Туре В

Figure 8. Retinex results for image 22

Conclusions

The proposed *Adaptive Scale-Gain MSR* worked well to enhance the visibility in the shadow areas keeping the good color and the scale-adaptive gain functions in both *Type A* and *Type B* could be automatically determined without any empirical parameter settings.

References

- 1. E. H. Land and J. J. McCann, J. O. S. A., 61, 1, pp.1 (1971)
- 2. E. H. Land, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 80, pp.5163 (1983)
- 3. J. Frankle and J. J. McCann, U.S.P.4384336 (1983)
- 4. Z. Rahman et al, Proc. SPIE, 2825 (1996)
- 5. D. J. Jobson et al, *Proc.4 th CIC*, pp.124 (1996)
- 6. D. J. Jobson et al, *IEEE Trans.*, 6, 3, pp.451 (1997)
- 7. J. J. McCann, Proc.7 th CIC, pp.1 (1999)
- 8. K. Barnard and B. Funt, Color Imaging, John Wiley and Sons, pp. 9-17 (1999)

- B. Funt, F. Ciurea, and J. J. McCann, *Proc.8 th CIC*, pp.112 (2000)
- 10. http://dragon.larc.nasa.gov/viplab/retinex/retinex.html
- 11. M. Kobayashi and H. Kotera, Proc. Color Forum Japan, pp.151-153 (2001)

Biography

Hiroaki Kotera received his B.S degree from Nagoya Institute of Technology and Doctorate from University of Tokyo. He joined Matsushita Electric Industrial Co in 1963. Since 1973, he has been working in digital color image processing at Matsushita Research Institute Tokyo, Inc. In 1996, he moved to Chiba University. He is a professor at Dept of Information and Image Sciences. He received Johann Gutenberg prize from SID in 1995 and journal awards from IS&T in 1993, from IIEEJ in 1990 and 2000