

A Retargeting Approach for Mesopic Vision: Simulation and Compensation

Mehdi Rezagholizadeh

Centre for Intelligent Machines, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
IRYSTEC, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
E-mail: mehdi.rezagholizadeh@gmail.com

Tara Akhavan

Interactive Media Systems, Faculty of Informatics, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
IRYSTEC, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Afsoon Soudi

TandemLaunch Inc., Montreal, Quebec, Canada
IRYSTEC, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Hannes Kaufmann

Interactive Media Systems, Faculty of Informatics, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

James J. Clark

Centre for Intelligent Machines, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Abstract. Retargeting approaches aim at providing a unified framework for image rendering in which both the intended scene luminance and the actual luminance of the display are taken into account. At the core of any color retargeting method, a color vision model and its inverse are employed. Such a color appearance model should be invertible and cover the entire luminance range of the human visual system. There are not many available models that meet these two conditions. Moreover, most of these models are developed based on psychophysical experiments over color patches, and many have never been used for complex images due to their complexity. In this article, a color retargeting approach based on the mesopic model of Shin et al. ["A color appearance model applicable in mesopic vision," *Opt. Rev.* 11, 272–278 (2004)] is developed to work with complex images. The authors propose an inverse model for complex images to compensate for color appearance changes on dimmed displays viewed in a dark environment. Their experimental results using both quantitative and qualitative evaluations show a discriminative improvement in the perceived color quality for mesopic vision. The proposed method can be incorporated into image retargeting techniques and display rendering mechanisms. © 2016 Society for Imaging Science and Technology. [DOI: 10.2352/J.ImagingSci.Technol.2016.60.1.010410]

INTRODUCTION

With emerging new technologies such as quantum dots and organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs), display technology has been advancing quickly, giving users a broader color perception experience. OLED displays have a larger gamut

area compared with conventional CRT and LCD displays, and therefore they have great potential for high-quality images with low power consumption.¹ Due to their emissive pixel structure, OLED displays exhibit high contrast ratios, and high and constant color gamuts at all gray levels.

In today's world, every individual spends a great deal of time in front of displays in various applications such as consumer electronic devices (e.g., smart phones, tablets and laptops), the automotive industry,² and virtual reality interfaces (e.g., head-mounted displays). Working with bright displays raises power consumption and eye strain issues which affect customer satisfaction. For example, it has shown that using e-Readers with backlighting interferes with the human circadian rhythm.³ Moreover, watching TV or any bright display in dark conditions brings about negative impacts such as eye strain and reduces the lifetime of the display. Dimming the display is a trivial solution to the issues; however, it reduces the visual clarity, and especially the perceived quality of colors in images. Hence, a compensation algorithm should be employed to preserve the color appearance quality of a dimmed display.⁴

Shin et al.⁵ proposed a fully adjustable color appearance model built upon psychophysical experiments performed on color patches in mesopic vision. The model adjusts perceptual attributes such as white preference, color saturation and rod contributions to different luminance levels. In this article, we propose a color retargeting algorithm based on Shin's model. To the best of our knowledge, this model has not yet been employed in any real image rendering algorithm. Additionally, we develop the inverse model of Shin's, and our

Received June 30, 2015; accepted for publication Nov. 16, 2015; published online Jan. 7, 2016. Associate Editor: Marius Pedersen.

1062-3701/2016/60(1)/010410/12/\$25.00

result clearly indicates an improvement in color appearance using this nonlinear model. The main contributions of this article are as follows:

- (I) applying the Shin CAM to a real world image,
- (II) developing the inverse of Shin's model,
- (III) developing a color retargeting approach based on Shin's model,
- (IV) perceptual rendering of dark images and compensating color deviations imposed by the human visual system while viewing a dimmed display in the dark.

We make the following assumptions and limitations in the proposed algorithm: first, the display should be viewed with a dark surround and the influence of the surround is not considered in the model; second, the model does not take the size of stimuli into account; third, spatial and temporal properties of the human visual system are not addressed in the Shin model (i.e., pixels are treated as independent in the image). Hence, the proposed framework can be combined with image retargeting methods⁴ to model our visual mechanisms more thoroughly. The proposed method is examined quantitatively and qualitatively; the results are promising and show that our method performs well in both simulation and compensation modes.

BACKGROUND

The ultimate goal in display manufacturer is to produce perceptual displays that create natural images for viewers.⁶ To achieve this goal, visual system mechanisms such as contrast, luminance and color perception have to be taken into account in display rendering units.^{7,8} To have perceptual displays, it is vital to know human color perception mechanisms and to be able to model them thoroughly. The model should be comprehensive enough to take into account all aspects of human color vision in all visual conditions such as different light levels.⁹

The human visual system works in three different modes called photopic, mesopic and scotopic vision. Photopic vision refers to our vision in daylight situations (high light levels), in which only cones are responsible for our vision. As the light level falls off to a luminance of 10 cd/m² (Ref. 10), the visual system smoothly goes from photopic vision to mesopic vision, in which both cones and rods contribute to visual perception. In the so-called scotopic situation, the light level is lower than the absolute threshold of cone photoreceptors, and human vision is only mediated by rods. The photopic condition has been the main focus of most color research, and the mesopic and scotopic conditions have received much less attention.¹¹⁻¹³

Color appearance models (CAMs) aim at reproducing colors and color perceptual attributes of a simple stimulus as the human visual system perceives it. The output of an ideal CAM should match human color perception in all viewing conditions. There are many CAMs available in the literature such as Lab, CIECAM97¹⁴ and CIECAM02.¹⁵ However, none of them are even close to the ideal model. Most color appearance models have the following limitations: first, they do not take spatial and temporal properties of the

human visual system into account; second, they model the appearance of simple stimuli such as color patches;¹⁶ third, they are developed for photopic conditions;^{17,18} fourth, they assume that pixels are independent from each other.¹⁹

Image color appearance models (iCAMs) have been proposed to fill this gap by incorporating spatial and temporal vision to model the appearance of complex stimuli.²⁰ However, even these models do not work well in the mesopic range. A case in point is the iCAM06 model proposed by Kuang et al.,²⁰ in which the rod contributions are added to the cone responses uniformly. However, recent studies show that the rod contributions to different channels are not the same.^{21,22} Hence, the model used for mesopic vision in image appearance models should be improved. Moreover, existing iCAMs and CAMs are only able to *simulate* (i.e., predict the appearance of the original scene as a human observer perceives it) the appearance of stimuli. In other words, they are not designed for *compensating* (i.e., reproducing colors on a rendering medium with a specific viewing condition to match the original scene colors) appearance changes of stimuli rendered on different media with different viewing conditions. For example, when a bright scene is reproduced on a dark display, the contrast degradation and the hue and saturation shift due to mesopic vision will affect the visual appearance of the image content significantly. In this case, a compensation algorithm should be employed to retrieve the original image appearance.

An *image retargeting* technique intends to provide a unified framework for both simulation and compensation algorithms, and it can be thought of as a bidirectional image color appearance model. Wanat and Mantiuk proposed a retargeting method which consists of global and local contrast retargeting units together with a color retargeting block.⁴ The focus of our work is on the color retargeting method, which is an inseparable part of image retargeting algorithms. Every color retargeting method requires a color vision model (responsible for predicting the color of the original scene) for simulation purposes and its inverse for compensation purposes.

Since, in theory, the scene and rendering device luminance can be in any of the three photopic, mesopic or scotopic ranges, the color vision model should be viable for all luminance levels too. However, not many models consider the mesopic and scotopic ranges and rod contributions.^{18,23} Hunt proposed a color appearance model which considers rod responses.²⁴ Kwak et al. introduced a lightness predictor for mesopic vision to address the stimulus size effect in their model.²⁵ The other presented mesopic models are not CAMs since they do not take the viewing conditions into account. We refer to them as *mesopic color vision models*. Hence, color vision models cover a greater number of models, which can be less general—in terms of considering visual appearance phenomena—and might have more limiting assumptions compared with CAMs. Shin et al. introduced a mesopic model based on psychophysical experiments on color patches.⁵ Cao et al. proposed another mesopic vision model,²¹ which was

employed in Kirk's perceptual tone mapping operator for low light conditions²⁶ and in the color retargeting approach proposed by Wanat and Mantiuk.⁴ Rezagholizadeh and Clark proposed a maximum-entropy-based spectral color vision model for mesopic conditions.²³ A comparison of four algorithms that can realistically simulate the appearance of night scenes on a standard display is presented in Ref. 27.

We have only a handful of color retargeting methods, and none of them perform very well in simulating and compensating images in dark conditions. Our method concerns the introduction of a color retargeting approach of Shin et al. based on the available mesopic model. An eligible color vision model for color retargeting algorithms should possess two main features: first, the model must be applicable to the entire luminance range of the human visual system (photopic, mesopic and scotopic vision); second, the model must be invertible. We can add a third condition of being computationally inexpensive, if the algorithm is going to be used in real time. Taking the three conditions into account, only Cao and Shin models would be qualified to be deployed in a color retargeting framework. The Cao model, however, has shown poor performance in reproducing colors at low light levels over both color patches²³ and complex stimuli.⁴ This is mainly due to the linearity assumption made in Cao's model between the color and the illuminance, which oversimplifies the color mechanisms of the human visual system. Therefore, we study the Shin model to investigate its performance as a color retargeting method.

METHOD

Shin's Color Appearance Model for Mesopic Vision

Shin et al. proposed a modified version of the Boynton two-stage model with fitting parameters to account for the rod intrusion in mesopic vision.⁵ The goal of the model is to find the matching colors in the photopic range for the input colors in the mesopic range. The parameters of the model are obtained as a function of the luminance based on asymmetric color matching experimental data. In their experiment, the observer is presented with a Munsell color chip under mesopic conditions and is asked to match the appearance of that patch with the simulated image reproduced by the model in the CRT display under photopic conditions. The model is as follows.

1. The XYZ image (i.e., the RGB image which is transformed to the XYZ color space) is input to the model and is converted to the LMS space in the first step:

$$\begin{aligned} [X \ Y \ Z]^t &= M_{rgb2xyz} \cdot [R \ G \ B]^t, \\ LMS &= [L_p \ M_p \ S_p]^t = M_{xyz2LMS} \cdot XYZ. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

2. The LMS signals are substituted into the opponent channel equations of the Boynton two-stage model:

$$\begin{aligned} A(E) &= \alpha(E)K_w((L_p + M_p)/(L_{pw} + M_{pw})) \\ &\quad + \beta(E)K'_w(Y'/Y'_w)^\gamma, \\ r/g(E) &= l(E)(L_p - 2M_p) + a(E)Y', \\ b/y(E) &= m(E)(L_p + M_p - S_p) + b(E)Y', \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Table I. Parameters of the Shin model.

Parameter	Value
K_w	1
K'_w	78.4
γ	0.77

Table II. Transformation matrixes used in the Shin model.

Parameter	Value
$M_{rgb2xyz}$, Ref. 28	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.4124 & 0.3576 & 0.1805 \\ 0.2126 & 0.7152 & 0.0722 \\ 0.0193 & 0.1192 & 0.9505 \end{bmatrix}$
$M_{xyz2LMS}$, Ref. 5	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.155 & 0.543 & -0.033 \\ -0.155 & 0.457 & 0.033 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$M_{opp2xyz}$, Ref. 5	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.008 & 2.149 & -0.212 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

where E represents the photopic luminance of the scene; $A(E)$, $r/g(E)$ and $b/y(E)$ are the achromatic, red/green and blue/yellow opponent responses, respectively; the indices p and w indicate "photopic" and "white point," respectively; Y' represents the scotopic luminance; $\alpha(E)$, $\beta(E)$, $l(E)$, $a(E)$, $m(E)$ and $b(E)$ are the fitting functions indicating the relative contributions of the rod's response to the opponent channels; and K_w and K'_w are the maximum responses of the luminance channel in photopic and scotopic conditions.

3. Then, the opponent responses, $A(E)$, $r/g(E)$ and $b/y(E)$, are transformed back to the XYZ space and then to the RGB space:

$$[X_m \ Y_m \ Z_m]^t = M_{opp2xyz} \cdot [A(E) \ r/g(E) \ b/y(E)]^t, \quad (3)$$

where X_m , Y_m and Z_m represent the mesopic XYZ values as they can be seen in photopic conditions. The parameters of the Shin model are selected according to Table I. Functions ($\alpha(E)$, $\beta(E)$, $l(E)$, $a(E)$, $m(E)$, $b(E)$) are evaluated based on interpolation over the given points in table 1 of Ref. 5. The transformation matrixes used in the model are listed in Table II.

Developing the Inverse of Shin's Model for Compensation

As mentioned earlier, perceptual rendering necessitates involving both a color vision model and its inverse. Given the intended luminance of the original image, the forward color appearance model—the Shin model in our case—predicts the color perceptual attributes for a standard human observer. The goal of the inverse model is to take the output of the forward model (perceived original image at the intended luminance based on the Shin model) and predict the RGB values of the compensated image such that the color appearance of this image rendered on a display with a specific




Figure 1. Schematic of the Shin color retargeting method.

luminance value resembles the perceived original image. Hence, in order to develop the inverse model, we feed the color perceptual attributes of the forward model into the inverse model (i.e., inverse Shin's model) along with the luminance of the target display and obtain the compensated image to be rendered on the display. The schematic of this perceptual model is shown in Figure 1.

To develop the inverse of this nonlinear color vision model we carry out the following steps. First, the opponent responses of the forward model ($A(E)$, $r/g(E)$, $b/y(E)$) are fed to the inverse model. We assume that the compensated image based on the display luminance, \bar{E} , produces the same opponent responses as the opponent responses of the forward model to make a perfect match to the perceived image at the intended luminance, E . Second, the functions $\alpha(\bar{E})$, $\beta(\bar{E})$, $l(\bar{E})$, $a(\bar{E})$, $m(\bar{E})$ and $b(\bar{E})$ are evaluated for the average display luminance, \bar{E} . Third, the computed functions and opponent responses are substituted in the forward model (Eq. (2)) and the \overline{LMS} values of the compensated image can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{L_p} + \overline{M_p} &= ((L_{pw} + M_{pw})/(\alpha(\bar{E})K_w)) \\ &\quad \times (A(E) - \beta(\bar{E})K'_w(Y'/Y'_w)^\gamma), \\ \overline{L_p} - 2\overline{M_p} &= \frac{(r/g(E) - a(\bar{E}) \times Y')}{l(\bar{E})}, \\ \overline{L_p} + \overline{M_p} - \overline{S_p} &= \frac{(b/y(E) - b(\bar{E}) \times Y')}{m(\bar{E})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Fourth, the left-hand side variables of Eq. (4) are transformed to $\overline{L_p}$, $\overline{M_p}$ and $\overline{S_p}$ using a simple linear transformation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{L_p} \\ \overline{M_p} \\ \overline{S_p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{L_p} + \overline{M_p} \\ \overline{L_p} - 2\overline{M_p} \\ \overline{L_p} + \overline{M_p} - \overline{S_p} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Finally, a linear transformation is applied to convert the \overline{LMS} values to XYZ and subsequently to RGB values.

EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

In this section, the proposed algorithm is evaluated using quantitative and qualitative experiments.

Quantitative Evaluation

In the quantitative experiment, the human subject is replaced by the Shin mesopic model, to predict the human observer

color perception at low light levels. The evaluation procedure of our experiment is depicted in Figure 2. The forward Shin model is employed to simulate the perceived image at different luminance levels. This model takes in an image, the reference white and the light level under which the image is viewed. The output of the model is the simulated perceived image in photopic conditions in the XYZ space. To derive the corresponding color perceptual attributes, the XYZ values and the reference white can be given to the LAB space.

This experiment is conducted on four images, {Multi-object Scene, Car, Walk Stones, Red Room}, where the images are viewed in a dark surround, and the results are shown in Figures 3–6. Each of the figures shows (a) the simulated perceived original image on a bright display ($L_{src} = 250 \text{ cd/m}^2$), (b) the simulated perceived unprocessed image on a dark display ($L_{dest} = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$), (c) the simulated perceived compensated image on a dark display with the same brightness level, (d) the compensated image, (e) the simulated perceived gamut of the image shown in (a), (f) the simulated perceived gamut of the unprocessed image on a dark display, (g) the simulated perceived gamut of the compensated image viewed on a dark display and (h) a comparison of the three simulated perceived gamuts depicted in (e)–(g). It is worth mentioning that the gamut of each image is shown in the LAB space, which is approximately a perceptually uniform color space.

The results of Figs. 3–6 show that the compensated image has a larger simulated perceived gamut and a better simulated color appearance in dark conditions compared with the unprocessed image viewed in the same conditions. For example, in the *Multi-object Scene* image in Fig. 3, one may compare the checker board colors in Fig. 3(b) and 3(c) to see that the colors in the simulated perceived compensated image more closely resemble the colors in Fig. 3(a); or in the *Car* image, the blue color of the sky and the car is maintained better compared with the unprocessed image on the dark display. The simulated perceived unprocessed *Walk Stone* image shows washed out colors, while in the simulated perceived compensated image, the blue sky, green grass and brown stones are visible more clearly. Fig. 5(h) demonstrates that the simulated perceived gamut of the unprocessed image in dark conditions is shrunk to the center of the ab -chromaticity diagram (achromatic region), and the simulated perceived gamut of the compensated image brings back a fairly large portion of the lost simulated perceived color gamut. In Fig. 6, the red color of the wall, the carpet




Figure 2. The procedure for evaluating the proposed Shin color retargeting method: the simulated perceived image at the intended scene luminance, E , is compared with the simulated perceived image viewed on a dimmed display with the luminance \bar{E} when no processing is carried out on the image and the simulated perceived image processed by our color retargeting method viewed on the same display.

and the vase, the color of the cushions and the picture hung on the wall are more vivid in the dark compensated image compared with the unprocessed image.

To evaluate the color appearance quality of images quantitatively, a color difference metric can be employed. A particular application of quantitative assessment techniques is to replace a human subject in evaluating the quality of images, which accordingly gives rise to a less expensive, more effective, more repeatable and consistent, and more time efficient approach. The metric used for this purpose should be based on a comprehensive color appearance model. There are several color difference measures in the literature, such as ΔE_{xy} , ΔE_{ab} , ΔE_{94} and ΔE_{00} ; however, none of them give an ideal perceptual measure to be used with complex images. In spite of the reported limitations and deficiencies of these measures, they are the only available metrics for quantitative color quality assessment and have been used in the literature extensively. Hence, the quantitative evaluation of our method is carried out as follows. A qualitative assessment will be performed in the next subsection to verify the results of the quantitative evaluation.

The chromaticity difference measure ΔE_{94}^c is derived from the well-known color difference metric ΔE_{94} by removing the lightness component from the ΔE_{94} formula. ΔE_{94}^c is used to evaluate the chromaticity deviation of simulated perceived uncompensated and compensated images on the dimmed display compared with the perceived colors of the original scene:

$$\Delta E_{94}^c = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta C_{ab}^*}{k_C S_C}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta H_{ab}^*}{k_H S_H}\right)^2}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^* &= \sqrt{(a_1^*)^2 + (b_1^*)^2}, & C_2^* &= \sqrt{(a_2^*)^2 + (b_2^*)^2}, \\ \Delta C_{ab}^* &= C_1^* - C_2^*, \\ \Delta a^* &= a_1^* - a_2^*, & \Delta b^* &= b_1^* - b_2^*, \\ \Delta H_{ab}^* &= \sqrt{(\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2 - (\Delta C_{ab}^*)^2}, \\ S_C &= 1 + K_1 C_1^*, & S_H &= 1 + K_2 C_1^*, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and where (a_1^*, b_1^*) and (a_2^*, b_2^*) refer to the a^*b^* values of two CIE 1976 $L^*a^*b^*$ coordinates, K_1 is set to 0.045, $K_2 = 0.015$ and $k_C = k_H = 1$.²⁹

The results for the perceptual chromaticity differences between the dark and bright images for both the uncompensated and the compensated approaches of Figs. 3–6 are shown in Table III. The ΔE_{94}^c measure for the compensated images is reduced by a factor of almost 2 compared with that of the uncompensated images.

Another quantitative measure, introduced in this work, is the percentile coverage of the simulated perceived gamut of

Table III. Mean ΔE_{94}^c measure between a test image viewed at $L_{dest} = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$ and the perceived original image at $L_{src} = 250 \text{ cd/m}^2$.

Test image	Unprocessed	Our method	Wanat	iCAM06
Multi-object scene	5.0	2.80	4.37	5.62
Car	5.05	2.23	4.36	7.23
Walk stones	5.22	2.65	4.54	5.74
Red room	7.79	4.39	7.09	7.42
Blue room	6.19	3.36	5.43	8.26
Horse	6.58	3.45	7.17	10.93
Flower	23.61	21.17	24.15	31.13




Figure 3. The reverse Shin model is put to test based on the evaluation schematic shown in Fig. 2. (a) The perceived colors in the original scene ($L_{source} = 250 \text{ cd/m}^2$), (b) the perceived colors on a dimmed display ($L_{dest} = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$), (c) the perceived colors of the compensated image ($L_{dest} = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$), (d) the compensated image (rendered on the display) ($L_{dest} = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$), (e) the gamut of the original scene, (f) the gamut of the simulated perceived image on a dimmed display, (g) the simulated perceived gamut of the compensated image, (h) comparison of the simulated perceived gamuts.

images in the dark relative to the simulated perceived gamut of the bright image (i.e., the proportion of the overlapping area of the simulated perceived gamut of the dark image with the simulated perceived gamut of the original bright image). In the rest of this article, we refer to this measure as the effective gamut ratio (EGR). The EGR index is used to evaluate the performance of our proposed method in compensating the shrunk gamut area of the simulated perceived unprocessed image, and the results are reported in Table IV. The EGR measure is shown to be almost two times larger for the compensated images with our method compared with the unprocessed ones, and the EGR of the *walk stones* image is enhanced by a factor of 4.

Table IV. The EGR index (the percentile coverage of the perceived gamut (%)) between a test image viewed at $L_{dest} = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$ and the perceived original image at $L_{src} = 250 \text{ cd/m}^2$.

Test image	Unprocessed	Our method	Wanat	iCAM06
Multi-object scene	10.3	25.9	12.0	9.9
Car	9.2	22.1	10.2	10.0
Walk stones	9.1	43.0	14.8	20.5
Red room	7.6	14.3	7.7	9.9
Blue room	13.5	36.3	14.8	17.7
Horse	9.7	25.8	9.92	14.2
Flower	7.2	15.8	7.6	15.3




Figure 4. The reverse Shin model is put to test based on the evaluation schematic shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 7 displays the ΔE_{94}^c and EGR indices of the four images at different display luminance values of 1, 2, 5 and 10 cd/m^2 . We summarize the results of this figure as follows: first, the perceptual difference of the compensated image is smaller than that of the unprocessed image for all examined luminance values; second, the ΔE_{94}^c measure decreases as the display luminance grows; third, our proposed method covers a greater portion of the simulated perceived gamut of the original image compared with the unprocessed one; fourth, the dependence of the EGR index has an increasing nature with respect to the display luminance.

Qualitative Evaluation

A subjective experiment is conducted to evaluate the proposed compensation algorithm based on user preference of the color appearance of images shown on a dimmed display. The experiment is carried out on a Samsung Galaxy Tab AMOLED-based Android device. The size of the display is 10.5" with a resolution of 2560×1600 . A set of five images is used for the experiment, shown in column (a) of Figure 8. The images are selected such that they span a range of colors: red, green, blue, yellow, purple, orange and brown. Each image has a simple context and a dominant color in order to




Figure 5. The reverse Shin model is put to test based on the evaluation schematic shown in Fig. 2.

minimize the variation of visual attention between different users and facilitate selection of their preferred choice. Eight observers with normal color vision participated in the experiment, from different cultures (Indian, Chinese, Middle East and Western), genders (four females and four males), ages (in the range of 25–40 years) and educational background.

Experimental Methods

In the experiment, the following methods are evaluated.

Our color retargeting method is based on the forward and inverse of the Shin mesopic model introduced in this article as a color retargeting approach in Fig. 1.

*The Wanat color retargeting approach*⁴ was proposed by Wanat and Mantiuk. In this algorithm, the Cao algebraic model and its inverse are employed in the retargeting method. This algorithm is implemented and used for processing images as explained in Ref. 4.

iCAM06 is one of the most well-known image appearance methods in the literature.²⁰ The input parameters of this model are set as maximum luminance, $\max_L = 2 \text{ cd/m}^2$; overall contrast, $p = 0.7$; surround adjustment, $\gamma_{\text{value}} = 1$.

Fig. 8 shows the output of the different models. Columns (b)–(e) show the results of applying no processing, the




Figure 6. The reverse Shin model is put to test based on the evaluation schematic shown in Fig. 2.

Wanat color retargeting model, iCAM06 and our method, respectively.

Experimental Procedure

A pairwise comparison experiment is carried out in a dark room. We developed an Android application (see Figure 9) which shows two side-by-side images (i.e., a single image that is processed by two different approaches) to the user. Each participant compares all two method combinations (combinations of picking two out of the four methods) for all five images. The observer task is to choose his/her preferred image, displayed on the Samsung tablet, in terms of color appearance at each trial. The display brightness

is set to 2 cd/m^2 . During the experiment, observers were able to control their viewing angle and distance from the display.

Discussion of the Experiment Results

To analyze the results of the pairwise comparison experiment, the scores of each method are transformed to just-noticeable-difference (JND) units, as defined in Ref. 30. A difference of 1 JND unit represents that one option is selected by 75% of observers over another option. The absolute JND values are not meaningful and only the relative JND difference can be used for discriminating different choices. A method with a higher JND is preferred over




Figure 7. The ΔE_{94}^C measure and the EGR index are evaluated for the unprocessed and compensated images at different display luminance levels: 1, 2, 5 and 10 cd/m².




Figure 8. The original images and the results of different approaches applied to each image. The images are processed for $L_{src} = 250$ cd/m² and $L_{dest} = 2$ cd/m².

methods with smaller JND values. The results of our pairwise comparison experiment scaled in JND units are shown in Figure 10, and indicate the better performance of our proposed algorithm. The average JND of our method over

the five images shown in Fig. 8 is 6.04, while the second best method (i.e., unprocessed) has an average JND of 4.69. The JND score of our algorithm is significantly higher than the scores of the other methods over all of the images




Figure 9. A snapshot of the application used for the pairwise comparison experiment.

except the Flower image, for which our method is the best but its difference from the Wanat and unprocessed algorithms is not significant. In the Flower image, the three approaches Wanat's, unprocessed and our method all have similar performance. This similarity may be due to the dominant yellow color of this image. As explained in Ref. 31, yellow hues appear less saturated than other monochromatic colors. Hence, in dark conditions, yellow is more subject to losing its colorfulness. Moreover, the comparison of perceived gamuts in the quantitative results of Figs. 3–6 shows that the compensated gamut is not extended toward the yellowish region of the chromaticity diagram very much. It is worth mentioning the observation that in the unprocessed–Wanat pair comparison, some observers reported difficulty in choosing between the two. Furthermore, the results show that iCAM06 underperformed compared with the other algorithms because iCAM06 is not designed for compensation purposes and is only able to predict the appearance of the image for an intended luminance.

It is worth comparing the quantitative performance of the methods on different images based on the ΔE_{94}^c and EGR indices with the results of the qualitative experiment. Tables III and IV summarize the quantitative results of the methods for all of the images considered in this section. The two tables show the superiority of our proposed method over the other discussed techniques. Table IV shows that the gamut coverage of our method varies over the images, since the performance of our model is content dependent and the images in our database span different chromaticities. It is evident that the quantitative measures do not completely match the qualitative experiment results, which shows that the quantitative measures still need to be improved. Moreover, it is implied that the ΔE_{94}^c measure has a better correlation with the qualitative results than the EGR index, which is because, in contrast to the EGR, ΔE_{94}^c is a perceptual measure. If we sort the images used in the qualitative evaluation based on Table III and compare the result with that of the qualitative experiment, we can infer that a chromaticity difference of less than one unit is not reliable for judging the color appearance of images.




Figure 10. The result of the pairwise comparison experiment shown in JND units. The images are shown in Fig. 8.

CONCLUSION

In this article, a color retargeting technique based on the Shin mesopic model is implemented. In this regard, the inverse of the Shin model is developed to compensate for color deviations on dimmed displays or dark rendering media. The proposed method is applied to real images (as opposed to the conventional model). In other words, we propose a practical approach to perceptually render dark images and compensate for color deviations imposed by the human visual system while viewing a dimmed display. The introduced framework is evaluated using both quantitative and qualitative evaluations. In the quantitative evaluation, our method is able to roughly reduce the ΔE_{94}^c measure and expand the gamut area of the simulated perceived images by a factor of 2, compared with the unprocessed images. Moreover, the results of the qualitative evaluation demonstrate the promising performance of our algorithm. Plans for future extensions of the work include the following: first, to incorporate the proposed framework into existing image retargeting techniques such as Ref. 4; second, to evaluate our method in an experiment and compare it with a larger set of existing methods; third, to address limitations of this model by taking into account the chromatic adaptation and surround effect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to kindly thank TandemLaunch Inc. and IRYSTEC for running the subjective evaluation study.

REFERENCES

- 1 D. Shin, Y. Kim, N. Chang, and M. Pedram, "Dynamic voltage scaling of OLED displays," *Design Automation Conf. (DAC), 2011 48th ACM/EDAC/IEEE* (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2011), pp. 53–58.
- 2 K. Blankenbach, A. Sycev, S. Kurbatinski, and M. Zobl, "Optimizing and evaluating new automotive HMI image enhancement algorithms under bright light conditions using display reflectance characteristics," *J. Soc. Inf. Disp.* **22**, 267–279 (2014).
- 3 A.-M. Chang, D. Aeschbach, J. F. Duffy, and C. A. Czeisler, "Evening use of light-emitting ereaders negatively affects sleep, circadian timing, and next-morning alertness," *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 1232–1237 (2015).

- 4 R. Wanat and R. K. Mantiuk, "Simulating and compensating changes in appearance between day and night vision," *Proc. SIGGRAPH 2014* (2014), Vol. 33, p. 147.
- 5 J. Shin, N. Matsuki, H. Yaguchi, and S. Shioiri, "A color appearance model applicable in mesopic vision," *Opt. Rev.* **11**, 272–278 (2004).
- 6 B. Masia, G. Wetzstein, P. Didyk, and D. Gutierrez, "A survey on computational displays: pushing the boundaries of optics, computation, and perception," *Comput. Graph.* **37**, 1012–1038 (2013).
- 7 J. A. Ferwerda, S. N. Pattanaik, P. Shirley, and D. P. Greenberg, "A model of visual adaptation for realistic image synthesis," *Proc. 23rd Annual Conf. on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques* (ACM, 1996), pp. 249–258.
- 8 M. F. Deering, "A photon accurate model of the human eye," *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* (ACM, 2005), Vol. 24, pp. 649–658.
- 9 M. H. Kim, T. Weyrich, and J. Kautz, "Modeling human color perception under extended luminance levels," *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* (ACM, 2009), Vol. 28, p. 27.
- 10 S. M. Khan and S. N. Pattanaik, "Modeling blue shift in moonlit scenes by rod cone interaction," *J. Vis.* **4**, 316a (2004).
- 11 M. Rezagholizadeh and J. J. Clark, "Photon detection and color perception at low light levels," *Computer and Robot Vision (CRV), 2014 Canadian Conf. (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2014)*, pp. 283–290.
- 12 W. B. Thompson, P. Shirley, and J. A. Ferwerda, "A spatial post-processing algorithm for images of night scenes," *J. Graph. Tools* **7**, 1–12 (2002).
- 13 P. Kellnhofer, T. Ritschel, K. Myszkowski, E. Eisemann, and H.-P. Seidel, "Modeling luminance perception at absolute threshold," *Computer Graphics Forum* (Wiley Online Library, 2015), Vol. 34, pp. 155–164.
- 14 M. D. Fairchild, "A revision of ciecam97s for practical applications," *Color Res. Appl.* **26**, 418–427 (2001).
- 15 N. Moroney, M. D. Fairchild, R. W. Hunt, C. Li, M. R. Luo, and T. Newman, "The ciecam02 color appearance model," *IS&T/SID Proc. CIC10: Tenth Color Imaging Conf.* (IS&T, Springfield, VA, 2002), pp. 23–27.
- 16 B. A. Wandell and E. Chichilnisky, "Color appearance in images measurements and musings," *IS&T/SID Proc. CIC2 Color Imaging Conf.* (IS&T, Springfield, VA, 1994), pp. 1–4.
- 17 M. D. Fairchild and G. M. Johnson, "Image appearance modeling," *Proc. SPIE* **5007**, 149–160 (2003).
- 18 Y. Kwak, L. W. MacDonald, and M. R. Luo, "Mesopic color appearance," *Proc. SPIE* **5007**, 161–169 (2003).
- 19 M. D. Fairchild and G. M. Johnson, "Meet icam: a next-generation color appearance model," *IS&T/SID Proc. CIC10: Tenth Color Imaging Conf.* (IS&T, Springfield, VA, 2002), pp. 33–38.
- 20 J. Kuang, G. M. Johnson, and M. D. Fairchild, "icam06: a refined image appearance model for HDR image rendering," *J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent.* **18**, 406–414 (2007).
- 21 D. Cao, J. Pokorny, V. C. Smith, and A. J. Zele, "Rod contributions to color perception: linear with rod contrast," *Vis. Res.* **48**, 2586–2592 (2008).
- 22 J. J. McCann, "Color gamuts in dim illumination," *Proc. SPIE* **6807**, 680703 (2008).
- 23 M. Rezagholizadeh and J. J. Clark, "Maximum entropy spectral modeling approach to mesopic tone mapping," *IS&T's Proc. CIC21: Twenty-first Color and Imaging Conf.* (IS&T, Springfield, VA, 2013), pp. 154–159.
- 24 R. Hunt, "An improved predictor of colourfulness in a model of colour vision," *Color Res. Appl.* **19**, 23–26 (1994).
- 25 Y. Kwak, L. W. MacDonald, and M. R. Luo, "Prediction of lightness in mesopic vision," *IS&T/SID Proc. CIC11: Eleventh Color Imaging Conf.* (IS&T, Springfield, VA, 2003), pp. 301–307.
- 26 A. G. Kirk and J. F. O'Brien, "Perceptually based tone mapping for low-light conditions," *ACM Trans. Graph.* **30**, 42 (2011).
- 27 R. Wanat and R. K. Mantiuk, "A comparison of night vision simulation methods for video," *Proc. 11th European Conf. on Visual Media Production* (ACM, 2014), p. 16.
- 28 G. Ward, "High dynamic range imaging," *IS&T/SID Proc. CIC9: Ninth Color Imaging Conf.* (IS&T, Springfield, VA, 2001), pp. 9–16.
- 29 M. D. Fairchild, *Color appearance models* (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).
- 30 G. Eilertsen, R. Wanat, R. K. Mantiuk, and J. Unger, "Evaluation of tone mapping operators for HDR-video," *Computer Graphics Forum* (Wiley Online Library, 2013), Vol. 32, pp. 275–284.
- 31 J. J. Clark and S. Skaff, "A spectral theory of color perception," *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **26**, 2488–2502 (2009).