Reconsideration of CRT Monitor Characteristics

Naoya Katoh, Tatsuya Deguchi Research Center, Sony Corporation Tokyo, Japan

Introduction

Recently, CRT monitor's characteristics are drawing people's attention once again in the color imaging field, since it is the most popularly used color imaging device, today. By the ICC specification¹, the monitor's characteristics can be described by the chromaticity and the tone curve of each channel. This idea is based on the research by Berns, et al.², which later became a CIE technical report: CIE 122-1996³ and ASTM designation: E 1682-96⁴. These models are based on some fundamental assumptions. However, in real situations, its characteristics deviate from the theoretical values. IEC has issued IEC/CD 61966-3⁵, which describes a measurement procedure for the basic characteristics and the instability of the device. Hewlett-Packard and Microsoft has proposed the sRGB Color Space⁶, which is based on the "standard" CRT monitor characteristics. It is now proposed to IEC as NP 61966-2.1⁷. Other recent standards related to CRT monitor characteristics are VESA's EDID 2.0⁸ which incorporated CIE's tone curve and sRGB color space in the specification, and ISO/FDIS 9241 Part 7^9 and Part 8^{10} which is defining ergonomic requirements for displayed colors.

Historically, CRT characteristics were investigated in the 1910's by Child¹¹ and Langmuir¹² and later in the 1950's by Oliver¹³, but not much research has been done until recently, as of colorimetric characterization. In this paper, four basic characteristics of the CRT monitor are reconsidered, i.e., 1) Tone Curve Characteristics, 2) Phosphor and Additive Color Mixture, 3) Gamut, and 4) Viewing Flare.

1. Tone Curve Characteristics

The term "gamma" is frequently used for the CRT monitor's tone curve characteristics of each channel. Here, the transfer function is represented as " Γ " and the exponent of the transfer function is represented as " γ ". The overall transfer characteristics of the CRT monitor between the input signal data: "dc" and the output luminance: "Y" can be represented closely by the power law as in the equation for the simple model below. This " γ " is called "simple gamma" in this paper,

hereafter. Most people use this simple gamma as the monitor's overall gamma, which could sometimes be very misleading¹⁴. The characteristics of the CRT monitor are more complex and can be expressed as a combination of several parts' characteristics. The overall characteristics can be expressed as follows;

$$Display_\Gamma = Monitor_\Gamma \times VideoCard_\Gamma$$
$$= (CRT_\Gamma \times Set_\Gamma) \times VideoCard_\Gamma$$
$$= \{(Phosphor_\Gamma \times Gun_\Gamma) \times Set_\Gamma\} \times VideoCard_I$$

where;

Monitor_ $\Gamma = CRT_{\Gamma} \times Set_{\Gamma}$ CRT $\Gamma = Phosphor \Gamma \times Gun \Gamma$

Display_ Γ :	Digital data: dc vs. Luminance: Y
VideoCard_ Γ :	Digital data: dc vs. Analogue data: V_{in}
Monitor_ Γ :	Analogue data: V_{in} vs. Luminance: Y
Set_ Γ :	Analogue data: V_{in} vs. Grid Voltage: E_d
CRT_{Γ} :	Grid Voltage: E_d vs. Luminance: Y
$\operatorname{Gun}_{\Gamma}$:	Grid Voltage: E_d vs. Beam Current: I_k
Phosphor_ Γ :	Beam Current: I_k vs. Luminance: Y

Several models have been proposed to represent these characteristics. Below are some of the proposed equations to represent the CRT monitor's characteristics. "X" in the following equations represents input value: $\frac{dc}{(2^n - 1)}$." Other complex methods are also proposed ^{15, 16, 17}. Here, the following four equations that are used in the recent standards are compared.

- 1: Simple model $Y = aX^{\gamma}$
- 2: CIE model (Publ. 122-1996) $Y = (aX + b)^{\gamma}$
- 3: IEC new model (CD 61966-3 ver. 2.x or 3.x) $Y = (aX + b)^{\gamma} + c$
- 4: IEC old model (WD 61966-3 ver. 1.x) $Y = aX^{\gamma} + b$

First, VideoCard_ Γ is usually a linear transformation with no gain or offset terms and can be ignored in most cases. Second, Set_ Γ is controlled by the factory adjustment or user can change the settings by the user controls such as contrast or brightness knobs, which can be expressed as a linear transformation with gain and offset terms (i.e., $aV_{in} + b$).

The Child-Langmuir law states that the current density reaching the anode from a thermionic cathode: " J_k " follows a 3/2 power law for a vacuum tubes.

$$j_k \propto E_d^{3/2}$$

This applies to current density: " J_k ", not total current: " I_k ". From this law, Bessho has derived the total current: " I_k "¹⁸;

$$I_k \propto E_d^{-5/2}$$

which implies that Gun_ Γ can well be represented as a power law and its exponent: " γ " is theoretically 5/2 or 2.5. However, in real situation for the CRT, Gun_ Γ deviates from the theoretical value.

Lastly. Phosphor Γ describes phosphor's saturation characteristics of luminance versus beam current. In a certain range of luminance (under 100 cd/m²). Phosphor Γ could also be closely represented by the power law and its exponent: " γ " is somewhere around 0.9. This makes CRT Γ close to 2.2, which is the product of Gun_ Γ and Phosphor_ Γ . Broadcast monitors assume perfect setting with term "b" being zero. thus its overall gamma is set to 2.2, which is compatible with the sRGB specification. On the other hand, computer displays have higher value of simple gamma around 2.5. This is due to a slightly negative "b" term, to compensate for the light surround in the office. However, Phosphor Γ depends on phosphor's chemical component, thus it duffers from channel to channel. Also, Phosphor Γ heavily depends on other settings such as electron beam focus, display refresh rate, and screen size, etc. It should also be noted that the saturation characteristics' power law fails at higher luminance range.



By following each part's characteristics, the CIE model (#2) should closely represent the monitor's overall characteristics. As stated in CIE 122-1966, user settings such as contrast or brightness knob will change the "a" and "b" terms in the models above. But the " γ " should stay the same since it is an intrinsic characteristic of the CRT (i.e., gun and phosphor). In other words, "a" and "b" are dependent on user settings but " γ " should be independent of such settings. The above models at nine different settings of contrast and brightness are compared. Figures 1 a) to c) show the average of " γ : gamma", "a: gain" and "b: offset" of different settings on the left, and their standard deviation on the right. The experimental results indicated that both model #2 and #3's standard deviation of gamma was smaller than other models, thus these models are appropriate from this point of view.

Next, the model prediction error was investigated and its result is shown in figure 2. Non-linear regression technique was applied for all the models. (Note that in IEC document, linear regression technique in log-log space is recommended, which results in poor precision.) Again, model #2 and #3 performs better than other models and model #1 performs worst. The difference between model #2 and #3 is the term "C". This term could be used to represent a flare, which will later be discussed in section 4. However, if the measurement is performed in the darkened room with black background, as stated in the IEC document, there should be no internal or external flare. Therefore, as experimental results indicated, model #2 and #3 are not significantly different, and term "C" is not significant term.



2. Phosphors and Additive Color Mixture

There have been several specifications for CRT phosphor chromaticities ¹⁹, e.g., ITU-R ²⁰, EBU ²¹ and SMPTE ²². However, these specifications are slightly different from phosphors used for CRT monitors on the market today (e.g., Sony-P22). Table 1 shows the specifications for the different sets of phosphors and Figures 3 shows their gamuts.

Table 1. Specifications for RGB Phosphors

Spec		Red	Green	Blue	White	
ITU-R	х	0.640	0.300	0.150	D65	0.3127
BT.709-2	у	0.330	0.600	0.060	(6504K)	0.3290
EBU	х	0.64	0.29	0.15	D65	0.313
Tech.3213	у	0.33	0.60	0.06	(6504K)	0.329
SMPTE	x	0.630	0.310	0.155	D65	0.3127
RP145-1987	у	0.340	0.595	0.070	(6504K)	0.3290
(Sony)	X	0.625	0.280	0.155	D93	0.2831
(P22)	y	0.340	0.595	0.070		0.2971



The color differences of the Macbeth ColorChecker[®] displayed with different set of phosphors were calculated and are shown in Table. 2. The average color differences of twenty-four colors are listed in the upper half of the table and standard deviations are in the lower half.

Table 2. Color Differences with Different Sets of Phosphors

std_dev\d_E*ab	ITU-R	EBU	SMPTE	Sony_P22
ITU-R		0.836	1.719	2.208
EBU	0.631		2.374	1.638
SMPTE	1.316	1.833		2.518
Sony_P22	1.648	1.319	1.946	

CRT monitors are self-luminous displays and produce colors by the mixture of red, green and blue phosphors which can be expressed reasonably well by the color additivity rule. The relationship between the tristimulus values and the linearized RGB can be expressed as a 3x3 matrix as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = M_{Ideal} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

where;

$$M_{ldeal} = \begin{vmatrix} X_{R,\max} & X_{G,\max} & X_{B,\max} \\ Y_{R,\max} & Y_{G,\max} & Y_{B,\max} \\ Z_{R,\max} & Z_{G,\max} & Z_{B,\max} \end{vmatrix}$$

However, the measured values of maximum white is usually less than the sum of maximum red, green and blue signals. This is due to interactions between the channels. Interactions are caused by several reasons; e.g., 1) internal scattered electrons, 2) electron beam's mislanding, 3) phosphors' cross contamination, 4) insufficient power supply. When the interactions among the channels are not negligible, the relationship between linearized RGB and XYZ could be described better by the equation from linear regression from several displayed colors. Here, an interaction matrix (3x3 or 3x8) is introduced.

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = M_{Ideal} \cdot M_{Interaction(3x3)} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = M_{Ideal} \cdot M_{Interaction(3x8)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ R \\ G \\ B \\ RG \\ GB \\ BR \\ RGB \end{bmatrix}$$

Some people have used square terms: " R^2 , G^2 , B^2 " for this compensation ^{23,17}. These terms are physically meaningless, because they are for the compensating non-linearity of single channel, which should have been corrected by the tone curves. The cross terms: "RG, GB, BR, RGB" are for the interdependence among the channels and the offset term: "I" is for the flare. There are several ways to obtain this transformation matrix between the linearized RGB and reproduced colors' tristimulus values: XYZ.

- 1: measure maximum red, green and blue signals
- 2: obtain a 3x3 matrix by linear regression
- 3: obtain a 3x8 matrix by linear regression

Table. 3. Results with Different Characterization

std_dev\d_E*ab	Setting A	Setting B	Setting C	Setting D
RGB max	1.35 ± 1.21	1.55 ± 1.00	1.40 ± 0.78	5.74 ± 2.50
3x3 Regression	1.33 ± 1.12	1.76 ± 0.98	1.09 ± 0.46	3.30 ± 1.60
3x8 Reresssion	1.36 ± 1.25	1.51 ± 1.15	1.21 ± 1.06	0.73 ± 0.25

Table 3 is the comparison of characterization results by these

techniques. Color differences and their standard deviations were calculated for four different settings. For the normal settings (A to C), characterization results did not depend on the choice of techniques and acceptable results were obtained even with technique #1. However, for the extreme setting (D) with maximum brightness and contrast, the characterization results were heavily dependent on the choice of techniques. For such an extreme setting, technique #3 could be used.

3. Gamut

The volume of the CRT monitor's gamut was calculated and compared with those of printing/photography. The total volume of the CRT monitor's gamut in CIELAB space is much larger than those of printing/photography. However, it does not cover all the color of the printing/photography.



Fig. 4.a) Gamut of Different Media



Fig. 4.b) Gamut at Different White Points

As seen in Figure 4 a), the CRT monitor's gamut is larger at the red, green and blue primaries, but not in the cyan or yellow region. Figure 4 b) shows the gamut of the monitor with different white point settings in the a^*b^* coordinate. (It was assumed that the human visual system adapts to the maximum white for each case.)

When color management across different media is considered, it is probable that colors that are out of the CRT monitor's gamut will be transferred, stored, or processed in some RGB color space. (Note that some kind of gamut mapping is necessary to display these colors on the monitor.) One way to extend this gamut for the RGB color space is to make head/foot-room for encoding. In ITU-R Rec. 601²⁴, it is defined as;

$$D'_{RGB} = INT [(219V' + 16) + 0.5]$$

In ITU-R BT. 1200²⁵, for extended colour gamut;

$$D'_{RGB} = INT[(160V' + 48) + 0.5]$$

In sRGB, it is defined as;

$$\begin{split} R_{\text{soit}} &= \left((WDC - KDC) \times R'_{sRGB} \right) + KDC \\ G_{\text{soit}} &= \left((WDC - KDC) \times G'_{sRGB} \right) + KDC \\ B_{\text{soit}} &= \left((WDC - KDC) \times B'_{sRGB} \right) + KDC \end{split}$$

where WDC is the white level and KDC represents the black level, which is not defined in the specification at this moment. We have investigated how the virtual gamut can be extended by making head/foot-room.

First, the "cover ratio" was investigated which is defined as the proportion of the target gamut volume covered by the monitor's gamut with the head/foot-room. As readily expected, the cover ratio increases with the head/foot-room in CIELAB units. (The symbol "x" in the figures indicates the Rec. 601)



Fig. 5.a) Cover Ratio for Photograph & Printing



However, by making the gamut larger with head/foot-room, quantization becomes less efficient. Therefore, the "quantization efficiency" was next investigated which can be defined as the proportion of 24 bit colors in RGB space which will be included by the target gamut. It is also readily expected that the quantization efficiency decreases with head/foot-room.



Fig. 6.a) Quantization Efficiency for Photograph & Printing



Fig. 6.b) Quantization Efficiency for Different White Point

Lastly, the "expansion efficiency" was examined which is defined as the proportion of the expanded RGB colors in either headroom or footroom, which are included in the target gamut. It can be seen in figure 7 that the expansion has certain effects on covering target gamut until 10 to 20. But with larger head/foot-room, it would not have much effect on the cover ratio and rather makes quantization less effective.



Fig. 7.a) Expansion Efficiency for Photograph & Printing



Fig. 7.b) Expansion Efficiency for Different White Point

4. Viewing Flare

In the CIE 122-1996, terms for internal flare and external flare are added to the colors produced by the phosphors.

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{CRT} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{Phosphor} + \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{Internal Flare} + \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{External Flare}$$

Internal flare is caused by the internal reflection in the CRT glass, when phosphor around the measurement point is emitting some amount of light. This reflection depends on neighboring pixels, thus it should theoretically be compensated on pixel-by-pixel basis.

Color appearance on a CRT monitor is very much affected by the ambient lighting, since the human visual system changes its sensitivity according to the surroundings ^{26, 27}. However, colors reproduced by the phosphors are also physically affected by the ambient light ²⁸. When ambient light is present, the CRT screen reflects some of the ambient light and this reflection is added to the colors produced by the phosphors as above. And the external flare could be expressed as;

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{\text{External Flare}} = R_{bk} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{\text{Ambient}} = R_{bk} \cdot \frac{M}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{y_{\text{Ambient}}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_{\text{Ambient}} \\ y_{\text{Ambient}} \\ 1 - x_{\text{Ambient}} - y_{\text{Ambient}} \end{bmatrix}$$

where " R_{bk} " is the reflection ratio of the CRT screen (usually 3 to 5 %). Alternatively, it can be expressed with illuminance: M (lux) if we assume Lambertian reflection. In sRGB, both an encoding viewing environment and a typical viewing environment are defined. The encoding ambient illuminant is D50 (0.3457, 0.3585) and its luminance level is 64 lux. The encoding viewing flare is 1.0%. Here, we get;

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_{\text{External Flare}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1964 \\ 0.2037 \\ 0.1681 \end{bmatrix}$$

The typical ambient illuminant is also D50 and its luminance level is 200 lux. The typical viewing flare is 5.0%. Then,

[X]	[]		3.0694
Y	r =	=	3.1831
Z	External Flare		2.7236

is obtained. It is readily expected that the CRT monitor's gamut in the typical viewing environment is reduced by the ambient illumination. And in the normal office environment, illuminance is usually more than 200 lux, usually 500 to 1000 lux. Figure 8 shows the gamut volume of the CRT monitor at different illuminance. Figure 9. a) and b) shows the gamut shape in a*b* and L*C* coordinates, respectively. ITU-R BT. 709 phosphors were used and viewing flare is assumed to be 5.0% with ambient illumination by an F6 illuminant. As seen from the figures, the gamut of the CRT monitor is greatly reduced by the reflection of the ambient illumination. The gamut is reduced not only in the direction of the lightness axis but also in the direction of chroma.



Fig 8. Gamut Volume of a Monitor under Ambient Lighting



Fig 9. a) Gamut under Ambient Lighting in a*b* coordinate



Fig 9. b) Gamut under Ambient Lighting in L*C* coordinate

5. Other Problems

As mentioned in earlier sections, properly calibrated CRT monitors could be characterized well by the tone curves of each channel and the additive color mixture matrix. However, this needs some further assumptions for both temporal and spatial stability. These instability measurements are described in IEC 61966-3.

CRT monitors are very unstable at start-up, and they should be warmed up for more than thirty minutes or one hour for accurate color reproduction. After that, CRT monitors are rather stable for the middle-term (i.e., hours or days). As for the long-term (i.e., months or years) stability, glass browning or phosphor aging start to occur, which will affect the CRT monitor characteristics.

CRT monitors are not stable with respect to the screen position. The luminance at the corner of the CRT screen is much darker than that of the center. However, the component of the color difference is mostly the lightness, not chroma or hue. Therefore, color constancy holds for the human visual system to a certain degree.

Summary

Some of the basic colorimetric characteristics of the CRT monitor were examined. These characteristics are closely related to each other. It was found that; 1) CIE model works well for the tone curve characteristics when the CRT monitors are properly calibrated, 2) sRGB's standard monitor characteristics represent reasonably well for the current broadcast monitors, 3) the monitor's gamut is much larger than that of photography and printing, but it does not cover all of the photograph and printing's gamut even with the head/foot room, 4) the monitor's gamut is greatly reduced with the reflection of the ambient illumination. The CRT monitors are rather easy device for the colorimetric characterization, if they were calibrated properly. However, some compensation is necessary if the monitor's characteristics deviates from the ideal set-ups or if it were seen under light illumination.

References

- 1. "ICC Profile Format Specification ver. 3.3," International Color Consortium, (1996)
- 2. R. S. Berns, "Methods for Characterizing CRT Displays," Displays, 1 6, 173-182, (1996)
- 3. CIE Technical Report 122, "The Relationship between Digital and Colorimetric Data for Computer Controlled CRT Displays" (1996)
- 4. ASTM E 1682-96 "Standard Guide for Modeling the Colorimetric Properties of a Visual Display Unit" (1996)
- 5. IEC/CD 61966-3 "Color Measurement and Management in Multimedia Systems and Equipment, Part 3: Equipment using CRT displays" (1997)
- 6. M. Anderson, et al., "Proposal for a Standard Default Color Space for the Internet - sRGB," Proc. IS&T/SID Color Imaging Conf. 4, 238-246 (1996)
- IEC/NP 61966-2.1 "Color Measurement and Management in Multimedia Systems and Equipment, Part 2.1: Default RGB Colour Space -sRGB" (1997)
- "The Display Data Channel (DDC) Standard 2.0, with The Extended Display Identification Data Standard (EDID) 2.0," Video Electronics Standard Association (VESA), (1996)
- 9. ISO/FDIS 9241-7, "Ergonomic Requirements for Office Work with Visual Display Terminals (VDTs) - Part 7: Display Requirements with Reflections"
- ISO/FDIS 9241-8, "Ergonomic Requirements for Office Work with Visual Display Terminals (VDTs) - Part 8: Requirements for Displayed Colours"
- C. D. Child, "Discharge from Hot CaO," Phys. Rev., 32, 492-511 (1911)
- I. Langmuir, "The Effect of Space Charge and Residual Gases on Thermionic Current in High Vacuum," Phys. Rev., 3 2, 492-511 (1911)

- B. M. Oliver, "Tone Rendition in Television," *Proc. IRE.*, 3 8, 315-321 (1950)
- D. L. Post, C. S. Calhoun, "An Evaluation of Methods for Producing Desired Colors on CRT Monitors," *Color Res. Appl.* 1 4, 172-186 (1989)
- T. Olson, "Behind Gamma's Disguise," SMPTE J., 102, 452, (1995)
- M. J. Liaw, H. P. D. Shieh, "Colorimetric Calibration of CRT Monitors using Modified Berns' Model," *Optik*, **104**, 15-20 (1996)
- 17. C. A. Poynton, ""Gamma" and Its Disguises: The Nonlinear Mappings of Intensity in Perception, CRTs, Film and Video," *SMPTE J.*, **102**, 1099-1108, (1993)
- I. Ohishi, et al., "Image Display, 2nd Ed., (in Japanese)" Corona Publishing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, 15-19 (1975)
- 19. L. DeMarsh, "TV Display Phosphors/Primaries Some History," *SMPTE J.*, **102**, 1095-1098 (1993)
- 20. ITU-R BT. 709-2, "Basic Parameter Values for the HDTV Standard for the Studio and for International Programme Exchange," (1995)
- 21. EBU Tech. 3213, "Chromaticity Tolerances for Studio

Monitors," (1981)

- 22. SMPTE RP. 145-1994, "SMPTE C Color Monitor Colorimetry," (1994)
- 23. P. Bodrogi, J. Schanda, "Testing a Calibration Method for Colour CRT Monitors: A Method to Characterize the Extent of Spatial Interdependence and Channel Interdependence," *Displays*, **1 6**, 123-133 (1995)
- 24. ITU-R BT. 601-5, "Encoding Parameters of Digital Television for Studios" (1995)
- 25. ITU-R BT. 1200, "Target Standard for Digital Video Systems for the Studio and for International Programme Exchange" (1995)
- 26. N. Katoh, "Practical Method for Appearance Match between Soft Copy and Hard Copy," *SPIE* **2170**, 170-181 (1994)
- 27. R. S. Berns, K. H. Choh, "Cathode-ray-tube to reflectionprint matching under mixed chromatic adaptation using RLAB," *J. Elec. Imaging* **4**, 347-359 (1995)
- B. Saunders, "Visual Matching of Soft Copy and Hard Copy," J. Imaging Tech. 1 2, 35-38 (1986)